From:	Melanie Hadden; Julie van Hoff; James Pruett; Kathryn Slater-Carter; Denise Singh; George Domurat; Tom
To:	Mattusch; Virginia Chang Kiraly; William Zemke; SMTP Relay;
Subject: Date:	[EXTERNAL] Please post this to board meeting comments and to be read on October 18th Saturday, October 14, 2023 2:17:59 PM

\*\* External Sender \*\*

Ms. Hadden,

I would like for this email to be posted and read at October 18th Board meeting, and please redact personal information.

I read this months Board meeting Agenda for 10/18/23. I found something that is disturbing regarding Mr. Pruetts Activity report #7, the so-called "zoombombing" and what Mr. Pruett is proposing to do to rectify that situation. I noticed that nowhere in the articles that Mr. Pruett provided does it state that SMCHD has ever been a victim of this "Zoombombing", and as such, I would ask why Mr. Pruett thinks that he needs to impose this new rule to terminate call-ins to the board meeting? The board has already changed the meeting time making it extremely difficult for a lot of people to attend, and the only way that these people could attend at all was by the zoom meeting. This to me seems like more of the abuse of power I have been describing for years now that is committed by SMCHD. I will explain, for instance I have been harassed for years by SMCHD over parking of all things, and all of it leading up to my sending Mr. Pruett a cease and desist letter, of which Mr. Pruett chose to ignore and advised his subordinates to follow suit. Years after that cease and desist letter in March 2023, Mr. Pruett decided to install new "power grabbing" ordinances. I proceded to ask Mr. Pruett about said ordinaces as I believe that they were created as a response to SMCHD failing in their ability to intimidate me in regards to the harassment. Mr. Pruetts response was that he wanted me to show him my evidence regarding harassment, or that he would drop his investigation(that he never even started). Mind you, he is "just now", years later deciding to investigate harassment allegations, after I ask for explanation of new ordinances. It is beyond obvious that the only reason he wants to see "evidence" is so that he can control the narrative, being that he was deeply involved in harassment by refusing to even acknowledge receipt of cease and desist email. I have asked him repeatedly about the new ordinances since March, until just recently he stated that he was "re-drafting" the ordinances. I then asked him when he was planning on having the "re-draft" ready and he has proceded to stonewall me on that answer as well. Not only that, I recently found out a major discrepancy on the livaboard waitlist, where I was told "in writting" that I was # 29 at begining of 2023, & just this last September I was told I was # 31(its all in writting!!) I was even given the copy of list, and Ms. Van Hoff innocently stated it was just a mistake. Where is the transparency there? Since that date I have been stonewalled and gaslighted as to what happened with that discrepancy, of which could only mean fraud! If it was a "simple" mistake, then why wont SMCHD explain to me what happened? Again, where is the transparancy that SMCHD prides itself on? Marina tenants pay good money to be on that list, and wait for years, and as such

there should be no remote possibility whatsoever that any kind of mistake can happen.

In closing, I need to have my 2 questions above answered, regarding re-draft of new ordinances and the obvious fraud concerning the livaboard waitlist. And I also need to make a point as to Mr. Pruetts power plays that he continues to make, with current proposal in regards to "zoombombing" solidifying his ability to pass ordinances that give him more power, by limiting public discourse on his ordinance proposals.

Sincerely,

